

Expedited System Impact Study for Generation Interconnection Request

GEN-2004-008-3

SPP Tariff Studies

(#GEN-2004-008)

August 2004 Updated September 27, 2004 Updated February 1, 2005

Executive Summary

<OMITTED TEXT>Customer has requested an Expedited System Impact Study to evaluate a proposal to add up to 900MW of generation in northern Platte County, MO. The requested in-service date is June 1, 2009.

The Customer has proposed the addition of 900MW of coal-fired generation at the site. The unit will be interconnected to the existing Kansas City Power and Light (KCPL) Iatan 345kV substation.

The network upgrade requirements include expansion of the latan 345kV bus and installation of six (6) new 345kV circuit breakers. This expansion would provide terminals for the unit and start/standby transformers and a line terminal position for a new latan-Nashua 345kV circuit necessary for the generation interconnection. The ratings of the new latan-Nashua 345kV circuit will be at least 1099MVA normal and 1251MVA emergency.

The network upgrades outside of the latan 345kV substation are required to alleviate the contingency overloading on the 345kV and 161kV transmission system that results from the additional generation. A new proposed latan-Nashua 345kV circuit eliminates the contingency overloading of the latan-St. Joe 345kV circuit and latan-Stranger Creek 345kV circuit along with several surrounding 161kV overloads due to contingency events.

This study was re-analyzed to determine if a previously proposed 345/161kV Nashua transformer was required as a Network Upgrade. Results of this study indicate that a new Nashua transformer is not required for interconnection.

The total estimated cost of the required network upgrades for interconnection are \$25,318,000 including work at both latan and Nashua substations and the latan to Nashua 345kV line.

Short circuit analysis will be performed as part of the Facility Study performed by the Transmission Owner if the customer wishes to proceed.

Transient stability analysis indicates that for more probable disturbances with normal fault clearing times, system stability is maintained. With the occurrence of a less probable, extreme fault condition at the latan bus, in which fault clearing is delayed due to stuck breaker conditions, the latan and Customer units are unstable if the proposed latan-Nashua 345kV line is not built. If the latan-Nashua 345kV line is in service, the units remain stable for a stuck breaker condition at latan. Equipment at the latan substation is equipped with independent pole tripping to reduce the likelihood of delayed clearing of the three-phase fault condition. New equipment for the interconnection facilities should include similar operational capability, and out-of-step relaying is recommended for equipment protection.

Transmission Service is not analyzed during the interconnection impact study. A separate study analyzing the impacts caused by addition of the generation and the associated transmission service is attached in Attachment 1.

Click Here to View Attachment 1 -- Transmission Service Study

1. Introduction

1.1 **Project Description**

<OMITTED TEXT>Customer has requested a System Impact Study to evaluate a proposal to add up to 900MW of generation in northern Platte County, MO. The requested generation addition is for a 900MW coal-fired unit at the customer's site adjacent to the existing KCPL latan 345kV substation. The requested in-service date is June 1, 2009.

1.2 Study Methodology

The Interconnection System Impact Study investigates the effect of new generation on system performance during normal and contingency conditions. Deliverability of power to final customers is not analyzed. Those facilities that are affected only by the interconnection of the generation are analyzed in the Interconnection System Impact Study. Separate studies evaluate the impact of deliverability of the plants output.

Click Here to View Attachment 1 -- Transmission Service Study

Comparison of the base case, which excludes the proposed facilities, to the study case, which includes the proposed Customer unit, reveals any system constraints that result from the proposed generation addition. The analysis cases are based on the 2005 April Minimum, 2007 summer peak, 2007 winter peak, 2010 summer peak and 2010 winter peak to address the different seasonal loading conditions of the system. The proposed plant is modeled at maximum output of 900MW for all study cases.

The proposed plant is to be located in the Kansas City Power & Light (KCPL) control area. In order to determine the impact on facilities based only on the interconnection of the facility, a single sink for the plants output is not studied. The plants output is allocated to KCPL and the rest of the SPP area footprint on a pro rata basis.

Full AC contingency analysis (ACCC) is used to investigate the limiting constraints of the transmission system during contingency events. The analysis is performed using Shaw PTI's PSS/E v. 29.5. Comparisons are made between the cases with and without the Customer generation in service in order to identify the severity and cause of the overloading conditions. All branches in the KCPL and surrounding control areas above 69kV and all ties with KCPL are monitored for overloads exceeding 100% of emergency rating (Rate B). A TDF of 3% is required before a facility is flagged as impacted. Buses are monitored for voltage deviations exceeding +/- 5% of nominal.

2. Powerflow Analysis

2.1 2005 April Minimum

The 2005 April Minimum study case is used to evaluate light loading conditions and the effect of the added generation. It is likely that load levels and facilities will be different from the 2005 season when the generating unit enters service. However, the 2005 April Minimum case will provide insight into what will occur during light load conditions.

Added generation at the Customer facility results in no base case overloads on the transmission system. Prior to the addition of the proposed network upgrades, several transmission facilities were overloaded due to contingencies. After addition of the proposed network upgrades, <u>no overloading occurs</u> as a result of outages of transmission facilities in the 2005 April Minimum case.

2.2 2007 Summer Peak

The 2007 Summer Peak study case is used to evaluate summer peak loading conditions and the effect of the added generation. It is likely that load levels and facilities will be different from the 2007 season when the generating unit enters service. However, the 2007 Summer Peak case will provide insight into what will occur during summer peak loading conditions and the reasons for overloads in later seasons.

Added generation at the Customer facility results in no base case overloads on the transmission system. Prior to the addition of the proposed network upgrades, several transmission facilities were overloaded due to contingencies. After addition of the proposed network upgrades, <u>no overloading occurs</u> as a result of outages of transmission facilities in the 2007 Summer Peak case.

2.3 2007 Winter Peak

Added generation at the Customer facility results in no base case overloads on the transmission system. Prior to the addition of the proposed network upgrades, several transmission facilities were overloaded due to contingencies. After addition of the proposed network upgrades, <u>no overloading occurs</u> as a result of outages of transmission facilities in the 2007 Winter Peak case.

2.4 2010 Summer Peak

Added generation at the Customer facility results in no base case overloads on the transmission system. Prior to the addition of the proposed network upgrades, several transmission facilities were overloaded due to contingencies. After addition of the proposed network upgrades, <u>some overloading still occurs</u> as a result of outages of transmission facilities in the 2010 Summer Peak case. The table below documents the KCPL facilities impacted by the addition of the generation <u>after</u> the proposed network upgrades are added.

Facility Name	SIS Rate B	Base Case Loading	Transfer Case Loading	%TDF	Outage Contingency	Solution	Cost
SOUTHTOWN WINCHESTER JUNCTION SOUTH 161 KV	224	90.2%	104.2%	3.5%	STRANGER CREEK CRAIG 345kV	Replace Wavetrap at Southtowr	6000
BLUE VALLEY WINCHESTER JUNCTION SOUTH 161 KV	224	95.1%	109.1%	3.5%	STRANGER CREEK CRAIG 345kV	Replace Wavetrap at Blue Valley	6000
						Total Estimated Cost	12,000

2.5 2010 Winter Peak

Added generation at the Customer facility results in no base case overloads on the transmission system. Prior to the addition of the proposed network upgrades, several transmission facilities were overloaded due to contingencies. After addition of the proposed network upgrades, <u>some overloading still occurs</u> as a result of outages of transmission facilities in the 2010 Winter Peak case. The table below documents the KCPL facilities impacted by the addition of the generation <u>after</u> the proposed network upgrades are added.

Facility Name	SIS Rate B	Base Case Loading	Transfer Case Loading	%TDF	Outage Contingency	Solution	Cost
BUCYRUS - STILWELL 161KV	245	91.8	103.7	3.2	WEST GARDNER – S. RICHLAND 161KV	Wavetrap at Stilwell for Bucyrus line terminal must be replaced.	\$6,000
						Total Estimated Cost	\$6,000

3. Interconnection Network Upgrades

3.1 Interconnection Substation

The Customer plant will be interconnected with the 345kV transmission system at the latan substation in northern Platte County, MO. The existing 345kV bus will be expanded to accommodate the new generating unit and two (2) unit auxiliary transformers. Six (6) 345kV circuit breakers will be added to accommodate the new unit and additional 345kV line terminal for the proposed latan-Nashua line. The new latan-Nashua line is necessary to relieve the contingency overloads on the existing circuits at the point of the interconnection due to the increased generation. The estimated cost of the interconnection substation work is \$5,300,000.

3.2 latan-Nashua 345kV line

The combined output of 1570MW from the Customer and the latan #1 plants will be injected into the grid at the latan substation. Presently, the latan-St. Joe 345kV line and the latan-Stranger Creek 345kV line exit the latan substation. The latan-St. Joe circuit is rated at 956MVA and the latan-Stranger Creek 345kV line is rated at 1099MVA. Loss of either line results in overloading of the remaining circuit. The latan-St. Joe line is particularly susceptible to overloading by a number of contingencies because of the limited capability. A third 345kV circuit exiting the latan substation is required to inject the proposed plant's output into the grid and will be included as part of the direct-assignment interconnection facilities. The new circuit would carry a significant portion of the combined plant output under normal conditions and would alleviate the overloading of the latan-St. Joe line and the latan-Stranger Creek line during contingency events. The estimated cost of the latan-Stanger Creek line 345kV circuit is \$15,000,000.

3.3 Nashua 345kV Substation

Analysis indicates that the third circuit from latan should be tied into the Hawthorn-St. Joe 345kV line at Nashua. This substation construction will be included as part of the network upgrades. The estimated cost of the Nashua substation is estimated at \$5,000,000.

3.4 161kV Upgrades

After the installation of the proposed Network Upgrades mentioned above, three 161kV facilities still show overloading due to contingency analysis. The 161kV line from Blue Valley to Winchester Junction South and the line from Winchester Junction South to Southtown both show an overload after outage of the Stranger Creek to Craig 345kV line in the 2010 Summer Peak model. Upgrade of these

facilities will include replacement of wave traps at both Blue Valley and Southtown. This will increase the emergency summer rating from 224MVA to 335MVA. The estimated cost of these upgrades is \$12,000. Also, the Bucyrus to Stillwell 161kV circuit shows overloading due to outage of the West Gardner to South Richland 161kV line. Mitigation of this overload involves rebuilding the Bucyrus line terminal at Stillwell to remove a wavetrap limitation on the rating of the circuit. This upgrade will result in an increase in the emergency rating of this facility from 245MVA to 335MVA. The estimated cost of this upgrade is \$6,000. The total estimated 161kV costs are \$18,000.

The preliminary cost estimates for the network upgrade facilities are listed in Table 1 below. An estimated project schedule will be included in the Facility Study.

Table 1 – Summary of Network Upgrade Costs for Interconnection				
Stand Alone Network Upgrades				
Description	Cost			
latan 345kV substation facilities and equipment to facilitate interconnection	\$5,300,000			
Total Stand Alone Network Upgrades	\$5,300,000			

Other Required Network Upgrades			
Description	Cost		
New latan-Nashua line (27.5 mi.)	\$15,000,000		
Nashua substation work	\$5,000,000		
Bucyrus Stillwell 161kV	\$6,000		
Southtown Winchester Junction South 161kV	\$6,000		
Blue Valley Winchester Junction South 161kV	\$6,000		
Total Other Required Network Upgrades	\$20,018,000		
Total Required Network Upgrades	\$25,318,000		

Other facilities may be required depending on the results of the Transmission Service study performed separately and attached to this study. The facilities mentioned above are required only for interconnection of the generation facility.

4. Short Circuit Analysis

A short circuit study will be conducted by KCPL as part of the Facility Study to determine if fault current levels exceed equipment ratings at KCPL facilities.

5. Transient Stability Analysis

Transient Stability analysis was performed to verify dynamic system response to disturbances on the system using the 2010 summer peak model. The customer provided the machine data for the proposed Customer plant. Typical values were provided for a 1000kVA generator with an ESST4B exciter. This data was used to create a PTI dynamics model for the Customer plant.

The machine data for the remaining system was obtained from the current SPP dynamics data files modified to include all previously queued plants proposed for the study period. Selected fault scenarios were applied with clearing times specified in accordance with KCPL Planning Criteria. Single phase and three phase fault conditions were tested at the interconnection point and machines in the KCPL, WERE, MIPU, NPPD, OPPD, and KACY control areas were monitored for stability. Analysis of stuck breaker events was included to examine the effects of extreme disturbances. A list of the faults applied is in Table 4 below.

Fault #	Fault Description
FLT_1_1PH	Single Phase fault at Stranger Creek on the Stranger Creek latan 345kV line
FLT_1_3PH	Three Phase fault at Stranger Creek on the Stranger Creek latan 345kV line
FLT_2_1PH	Single Phase fault at St. Joe on the St. Joe latan 345kV line
FLT_2_3PH	Three Phase fault at St. Joe on the St. Joe latan 345kV line
FLT_3_1PH	Single Phase fault at Stranger Creek on the Stranger Creek Craig 345kV line
FLT_3_3PH	Three Phase fault at Stranger Creek on the Stranger Creek Craig 345kV line
FLT_4_1PH	Single Phase fault at Stranger Creek on the Stranger Creek Hoyt 345kV line
FLT_4_3PH	Three Phase fault at Stranger Creek on the Stranger Creek Hoyt 345kV line
FLT_5_1PH	Single Phase fault at St. Joe on the St. Joe Cooper 345kV line
FLT_5_3PH	Three Phase fault at St. Joe on the St. Joe Cooper 345kV line
FLT_6_1PH	Single Phase fault at St. Joe on the St. Joe Fairport 345kV line
FLT_6_3PH	Three Phase fault at St. Joe on the St. Joe Fairport 345kV line
FLT_7_1PH	Single Phase fault at the Midpoint on the Cooper Fairport 345kV line
FLT_7_3PH	Three Phase fault at the Midpoint on the Cooper Fairport 345kV line
FLT_8_1PH	Single Phase fault at St. Joe on the St. Joe Hawthorn 345kV line
FLT_8_3PH	Three Phase fault at St. Joe on the St. Joe Hawthorn 345kV line
FLT_9	Trip latan Unit #1 (670MW)
FLT_10	Trip Customer Unit at latan (900MW)
FLT_11	Trip Jeffrey Energy Center Unit #2 (681MW)
FLT_12_1PH	Single Phase fault at latan on the St. Joe latan 345kV line
FLT_12_3PH	Three Phase fault at latan on the St. Joe latan 345kV line
FLT_12_1PH_stuck	Stuck breaker/delayed clearing Single Phase fault at latan on the St. Joe latan 345kV line
FLT_12_3PH_stuck	Stuck breaker/delayed clearing Three Phase fault at latan on the St. Joe latan 345kV line

Table 4 Selected Faults

The faults above were applied in three scenarios: A basecase without the Customer plant or the latan-Nashua 345kV line in service, a case with the Customer plant online at 900MW and no latan-Nashua line, and a case with the Customer plant online at 900MW with the latan-Nashua line in service.

In the case without the latan-Nashua 345kV line, the study indicates that normally cleared single-phase and three-phase fault events do not cause system instability. However, a less probable, extreme disturbance involving a stuck breaker with delayed clearing of a three-phase fault, the latan and Customer units become unstable. The terminal voltage of the plants begins to oscillate wildly. Out-of-synchronism relaying would trip the latan and Customer units offline and the remainder of the system should remain stable. Oscillations are generally damped following all fault clearing. The use of Independent pole tripping at the latan substation reduces the likelihood of the three-phase delayed clearing condition and is recommended, in addition to out-of-step relaying for generator protection during the extreme disturbance events.

In the case with the new latan-Nashua 345kV line, the stuck breaker at latan does not cause instability.

Plots of machine angles and selected 345kV system voltages for all scenarios analyzed are attached in the Appendices to this report.

6. Conclusion

This System Impact Study was requested by Customer to assess the interconnection requirements for the addition of 900MW of new generation in northern Platte County, MO. The analysis evaluates the impact of introducing the new generation on the power system during normal operation and contingency conditions.

The addition of 900MW generating capacity at the proposed site results in the overloading of transmission facilities during outages on the 345kV and 161kV system. The existing circuits from the latan substation are inadequate for the additional capacity of the plant, and a new latan-Nashua 345kV line rated at 1099MVA is required for the plant interconnection to allow the transfer of power from the latan site under contingency conditions.

Network upgrades are required at the latan substation to accommodate the proposed plant. Expansion of the 345kV ring bus and installation of six (6) 345kV circuit breakers is necessary for the new unit terminal and proposed latan-Nashua 345kV circuit. Land acquisition and environmental impact issues are not included in the cost of constructing interconnection facilities. The total estimated cost for the network upgrades is \$25,318,000. An estimated project schedule will be determined during the Facility Study.

The costs do not include any costs associated with the deliverability of the energy to final customers. These costs are determined by separate studies when the Customer requests transmission service through Southwest Power Pool's OASIS.

Click Here to View Attachment 1 -- Transmission Service Study

Appendix A-1

Plots of Fault Simulations

Plots of selected machine angle response during faults

Scenario: 2010 Summer Peak Basecase [No Customer Plant – No Network Upgrades]







































Appendix A-2

Plots of Fault Simulations

Plots of selected bus voltage response during faults

Scenario: 2010 Summer Peak Basecase [No Customer Plant – No Network Upgrades]






































Appendix B-1

Plots of Fault Simulations

Plots of selected machine angle response during faults

Scenario: 2010 Summer Peak 900MW [Customer Plant at 900MW – No Network Upgrades]














































Appendix B-2

Plots of Fault Simulations

Plots of selected bus voltage response during faults

Scenario: 2010 Summer Peak 900MW [Customer Plant at 900MW – No Network Upgrades]















































Appendix C-1

Plots of Fault Simulations

Plots of selected machine angle response during faults

Scenario: 2010 Summer Peak 900MW [Customer Plant at 900MW – latan-Nashua 345kV and Nashua 345/161kV Transformer]







SPP MDWG 04 STABILITY;2010 SUM PEAK; MODIFIED








































Appendix C-2

Plots of Fault Simulations

Plots of selected bus voltage response during faults

Scenario: 2010 Summer Peak 900MW [Customer Plant at 900MW – latan-Nashua 345kV and Nashua 345/161kV Transformer]
















































System Impact Study GEN-2004-008-3 For The Designation of a New Network Resource Requested By Kansas City Power & Light

For a Reserved Amount Of 500 MW Beginning 6/1/2009

SPP Engineering, Tariff Studies

SPP IMPACT STUDY (#GEN-2004-008-3) January 31, 2005 Page 1 of 7

Table of Contents

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	
2. INTRODUCTION	4
3. STUDY METHODOLOGY	5
A. DESCRIPTION	5
B. MODEL UPDATES	
C. TRANSFER ANALYSIS	
D. UPGRADE ANALYSIS	5
4. STUDY RESULTS	6
A. STUDY ANALYSIS RESULTS	
5. CONCLUSION	6
APPENDIX A	7

<u>1. Executive Summary</u>

Kansas City Power & Light has requested a system impact study to designate a New Network Resource in the KCPL Control Area for 500 MW. The requested in-service date is 6/1/2009.

The principal objective of this study is to identify system problems and potential system modifications necessary to facilitate the additional 500 MW request while maintaining system reliability.

The service was modeled from the source in KCPL to economically dispatched KCPL units. The study results of the 500 MW show that no limiting constraints exist. The model does however include the addition of a new 345 kV line from Iatan to Nashua with a tap into the Hawthorn – St. Joseph 345kV line. The cost for this upgrade is assigned to the Generation Interconnection request as a required network upgrade. The cost of this facility is \$25,300,000.

This request was studied previously with a proposed Nashua 345/161kV transformer located at the new tap on the Hawthorn – St. Joseph 345kV line and for a total request amount of 900MW. Further analysis, at the customer's request, has concluded that inclusion of the 345/161kV transformer is not necessary to mitigate facility overloads that are present without the Iatan – Nashua 345kV line for 900MW and that no facility overloads are present after addition of the new Iatan – Nashua 345kV line and reduction of the request amount to 500MW.

<u>2. Introduction</u>

Kansas City Power & Light has requested a system impact study to designate a New Network Resource in the KCPL Control Area for 500 MW. The principal objective of this study is to identify the constraints on the SPP Regional Tariff System that may limit the requested service.

This study includes steady-state contingency analyses (PSS/E function ACCC) and Available Transfer Capability (ATC) analyses. The steady-state analyses consider the impact of the 500 MW request on transmission line loading and transmission bus voltages for system intact and system outages of single and selected multiple transmission lines and transformers on the SPP systems and first tier Non - SPP systems.

3. Study Methodology

A. Description

The system impact analysis was conducted to determine the steady-state impact of the 500 MW transfer on the SPP and first tier Non - SPP systems. The steady-state analysis was done to ensure current SPP Criteria and NERC Planning Standards requirements are fulfilled. The Southwest Power Pool conforms to the NERC Planning Standards, which provide the strictest requirements, related to voltage violations and thermal overloads during normal conditions and during a contingency. It requires that all facilities be within normal operating ratings for normal system conditions and within emergency ratings after a contingency.

The contingency set includes all SPP facilities 69kV and above, SPP First Tier facilities 115 kV and above, and any defined contingencies for these areas. The monitor elements include all SPP and first tier Non-SPP facilities 69 kV and above.

A 3 % transfer distribution factor (TDF) cutoff was applied to all SPP control area facilities. For first tier Non – SPP control area facilities, a 3 % TDF cutoff was applied to AECI, AMRN, and ENTR.

B. Model Updates

SPP used five seasonal models to study KCPL 500 MW transfer for the requested service period. The SPP 2004 Series Cases 2005 April Minimum (05AP), 2007 Summer Peak (07SP), 2007/08 Winter Peak (07WP), 2010 Summer Peak (10SP), and 2010/11 Winter Peak (10WP) were used to study the impact of the 900 MW on the system. The 2005 April Minimum (05AP) case serves as a proxy for future seasonal cases not included in the SPP 2004 Series Cases.

The chosen base case models were modified to reflect the most current modeling information. From the five seasonal models, two system scenarios were developed. Scenario 1 includes SWPP OASIS transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2004 Series Cases flowing in a West to East direction with ERCOT exporting and the Southwestern Public Service (SPS) Control Area exporting to outside control areas and exporting to the planned Lamar HVDC Tie. Scenario 2 includes transmission requests not already included in the SPP 2004 Series Cases flowing in an East to West direction with ERCOT importing and SPS importing from an outside control area and importing from the planned Lamar HVDC Tie. The system scenarios were developed to minimize counter flows to the transfers studied.

C. Transfer Analysis

Using the selected cases both with and without the new resource modeled, the PSS/E Activity ACCC was run on the cases and compared to determine the facility overloads caused or impacted by the transfers. The PSS/E options chosen to conduct the analysis can be found in Appendix A to this report.

D. Upgrade Analysis

This system impact study includes analysis with the new 345 kV line from Iatan to Nashua modeled. No additional facilities were identified with the new line included.

4. Study Results

A. Study Analysis Results

No overloaded facilities are present for the 500MW transfer on SPP and non-SPP first tier systems.

5. Conclusion

The study results of KCPL request show that no limiting constraints exist. The final ATC, upgrade solutions, and cost assignments will be determined upon the completion of the facility study. No facilities or costs are required in addition to the \$25,300,000 for the Iatan-Nashua 345kV line, which was assigned to the Generation Interconnection Request as a required network upgrade.

Appendix A

PSS/E CHOICES IN RUNNING LOAD FLOW PROGRAM AND ACCC

BASE CASES:

Solutions - Fixed slope decoupled Newton-Raphson solution (FDNS)

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply immediately
- 4. Solution options \underline{X} Phase shift adjustment

_ Flat start

_Lock DC taps

_Lock switched shunts

ACCC CASES:

Solutions – AC contingency checking (ACCC)

- 1. MW mismatch tolerance -0.5
- 2. Contingency case rating Rate B
- 3. Percent of rating -100
- 4. Output code Summary
- 5. Min flow change in overload report -1 mw
- 6. Excld cases w/ no overloads form report YES
- 7. Exclude interfaces from report NO
- 8. Perform voltage limit check YES
- 9. Elements in available capacity table 60000
- 10. Cutoff threshold for available capacity table 99999.0
- 11. Min. contrg. case Vltg chng for report -0.02
- 12. Sorted output None

Newton Solution:

- 1. Tap adjustment Stepping
- 2. Area interchange control Tie lines only
- 3. Var limits Apply automatically
- 4. Solution options \underline{X} Phase shift adjustment
 - _ Flat start
 - _Lock DC taps
 - _Lock switched shunts